Computational Insight into a Gold(I) N-Heterocyclic Carbene Mediated Alkyne Hydroamination Reaction
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ABSTRACT: A gold(I) N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) complex mediated hydroamination of an alkyne has been modeled using density functional theory (DFT) study. In this regard, alkyne and amine coordination pathways have been investigated for the hydroamination reaction between two representative substrates, namely, MeC≡CH and PhNH₂, catalyzed by a gold(I) NHC based (NHC)AuCl-type precatalyst, namely, [1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene]gold chloride. The amine coordination pathway displayed a lower activation barrier than the alkyne coordination pathway. The catalytic cycle is proposed to proceed via a crucial proton-transfer step occurring between the intermediates [(NHC)AuCH=CMeNH₂,Ph]+ (D) and [(NHC)Au(PhNHMeC≡CH₂)]⁺ (E), the activation barrier of which was found to be significantly reduced by a proton relay mechanism process assisted by the presence of any adventitious H₂O molecule or even by any of the reacting PhNH₂ substrates. The final hydroaminated enamine product, PhN=CMethyl, was further seen to be stabilized in its tautomeric imine form PhN=CMe₂.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, the addition reactions, particularly of the H-heteroatom ones across unsaturated substrates, have generated renewed interest for providing direct atom economic access to many important P-, S-, N-, and O-containing compounds. Additionally, because these addition reactions provide a greener alternative to the contemporary substitution reactions for yielding the same product, they have come in vogue in day-to-day organic synthesis. Quite interestingly though, while most of organometallic chemistry focuses on C−C or C−H addition, the counterpart examples of C- and H-heteroatom additions have received comparatively less attention, an observation that aroused our interest in the area. Hydroamination of olefins and alkynes is one such resourceful reaction that facilitates one-step 100% atom efficient conversion of cheap and readily available substrates to more valuable N-containing compounds of use as pharmaceuticals and agro, bulk, and fine chemicals. Like the olefinic substrates, which provide direct access to higher substituted amines, the terminal alkynes lead to synthetically important compounds like amines and enamines, which are key intermediates to valuable target molecules in organic synthesis. Thus, rather than remaining confined as a mere aesthetic academic accomplishment, these reactions have gained greater relevance in the industrial world under the present day scenario. Of the two, however, hydroamination of alkynes is more facile owing to a rather weaker π bond in alkynes by ca. 70 kJ/mol than in olefins and, hence, these reactions are relatively more well-understood than those of the amines, which largely remain restricted to activated olefins. Although condensation of carbonyl compounds and primary amines is an established method for the synthesis of imines, hydroamination of alkynes attracts interest as an environmentally friendly alternative, and many new catalysts have been developed to perform these reactions. It is to be noted here that the intermolecular version of this reaction involves aminomercuriation of alkynes and requires a stoichiometric amount of mercury(II). A number of metal catalysts, such as zinc, titanium, lanthanide, actinide, ruthenium, rhodium, and palladium, have been reported for this hydroamination reaction, but the yields and catalytic efficiencies for these catalysts are not yet satisfactory for practical explorations and thus the design and synthesis of new catalysts remain an intriguing challenge. In this regard, also notable are the recent efforts in performing metal-free hydroamination reactions. Thus, apart from the design and synthesis aspects, understanding the mechanism of such reactions is vital to the development of greener alternatives to the existing industrial standard methods.

Key challenges of hydroaminations, however, stem from the thermoneutrality and entropically unfavorable nature of these reactions arising out of their electron-rich reactant entities, i.e., the amines and alkynes or olefins, which are averse to mutual reaction. It is worth noting that metals, depending upon their type, play a pivotal role in catalyzing these reactions under mild conditions by invoking different substrate activation pathways, namely, involving alkynle, alkene, or amine activations. For example, the late transition metals, being carbophilic, often activate the olefins and alkynes by coordination to the metal center. A persistent issue frequently encountered in hydroaminations is in the regiochemistry of the products formed in
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the case of asymmetrically substituted substrates. In this regard, we have recently observed regiospecific Markovnikov-type addition in hydroamination of arylamines with terminal alkynes by (NHC)AuCl-type precatalysts, namely, [1-R-4-R’-1,2,4-triazol-5-ylidene]AuCl [R = CH₂COBu, CH₂CONH₂Bu, and C₆H₅OH; R’ = CH₂Ph and CH₂CO₂Bu]. With our 2-fold interest being in catalytic applications of N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) based transition-metal complexes in transformations of relevance to organic synthesis, including that of hydroamination of alkenes and terminal alkynes, and also in the subsequent understanding of the catalyst mode of action from a mechanistic perspective, we set out to obtain insight into the donor-acceptor nature of the species involved in the potential energy surface.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A computational study was undertaken of a mechanistic cycle proposed based on our earlier observation of hydroaminations of terminal alkynes with arylamines as catalyzed by a series of gold(I) (NHC)AuCl-type precatalysts, namely, [1-R-4-R’-1,2,4-triazol-5-ylidene]AuCl [R = CH₂CO₂Bu, CH₂CONH₂Bu, and C₆H₅OH; R’ = CH₂Ph and CH₂CO₂Bu], in the presence of AgBF₄ at 90 °C and 12 h of reaction time. The hydroamination reaction was thus anticipated to initiate with a solvent-coordinated species, [(NHC)Au(MeCN)]⁺BF₄⁻, formed from the reaction of the (NHC)AuCl-type precatalyst with AgBF₄ on the basis of mass spectrometric evidence (Scheme 1). In the present study, appropriate ligand modifications, like the selection of simple methyl moieties as N-substituents as opposed to the more elaborate functional groups on the NHC ligands in our reported gold(I) hydroamination precatalysts, and the assumption of the initiating species being an isolated cationic solvent-coordinated species, [(NHC)Au(MeCN)]⁺ (A), in lieu of an ionic [(NHC)Au(MeCN)]⁺BF₄⁻ compound with a noncoordinating BF₄⁻ anion, were adopted for computational simplicity. It is worth noting that recent theoretical studies indicate that the C–N bond distances and C−N–C bond angles are related to the σ-donor and π-acceptor properties of the NHC ligands. Because we found that only minor differences exist between the structural parameters of the methyl-substituted models and the more elaborate functional groups of the NHC ligands, we believe that current simplified model systems are appropriate for undertaking the proposed computational study. In particular, the hydroamination reaction was modeled for two representative substrates, MeC≡CH and PhNH₂, as catalyzed by a cationic species, [(1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene)Au(MeCN)]⁺ (A). The stationary and transition states of the proposed catalytic cycle were computed at the B3LYP/SDD, 6-31G(d) level of theory by applying suitable modification to the solid-state structure of the reported precatalysts, whose coordinates were obtained from X-ray analysis (see Figures S1–S18 and S29–S36 and Tables S1–S25 in the Supporting Information). Further corroboration of the computational results was obtained by performing single-point calculations using higher a triple-ξ-basis set at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory. Because cationic species were assumed for modeling, solvent effects were incorporated via polarizable continuum model (PCM) calculations, the values of which are used in the current discussion.
In particular, the alkyne and amine coordination pathways have been investigated for the hydroamination reaction. The free-energy profiles of the reaction trajectory in an isolated gaseous phase as well as in a solvent (MeCN) phase, specifically chosen in keeping with the experimental conditions, were eventually computed for obtaining a better understanding of the catalyst mode of action (Figure 3a,b, Scheme 2a,b, and Figures S24–S26 in the Supporting Information).

The metal–ligand donor–acceptor interaction of the type donor–[NHC]AuI (acceptor) [donor = MeCN in A, MeC≡CH and...
MeCN in B, PhNH₂ and MeCN in B’, Me≡CH and PhNH₂ in C, PhNH₂MeC≡CH in D, PhNHMeC≡CH in D’, and PhNHMeC≡CH₂ in E] in the stationary points A–E were probed using CDA studies, and for deeper insight into these interactions, the corresponding MO correlation diagrams were constructed from the individual fragment molecular orbitals (FMOs) of the donor and [NHC]Au I (acceptor) fragments (see Figures 1 and 2 and Figures S19–S23 and Table S26 in the Supporting Information). The donor → [NHC]Au I (acceptor) σ interaction is represented by d, while the corresponding donor ← [NHC]Au I (acceptor) π interaction is represented by b, and a d/b ratio thus signifies the relative extent of forward σ donation to π back-donation occurring between the donor and acceptor fragments in the A–E intermediates.

Of particular interest is the initial originating species, which incidentally is a solvent-coordinated cationic species, A, as observed experimentally,¹¹ and is common to both the proposed alkyne and amine coordination pathways. Quite unexpectedly, the computed structure of the initiating species, A (see Figure S1 and Table S5 in the Supporting Information), showed a linear geometry (∠C₁–Au–N₃ = 179.9°) at the gold(I) center with the metal bound to carbene carbon atom and a solvent MeCN nitrogen atom at two of its sides, in concurrence with the common coordination observed for the d¹⁰ configuration of gold(I).²⁵ The Au–C₁ (carbene carbon) bond length of 2.012 Å and the Au–N₃ (acetonitrile nitrogen) bond length of 2.058 Å were in good agreement with the respective single-bond distances equivalent to the sum of the individual covalent radii of the bonding atoms (Au–C = 2.108 Å and Au–N = 2.036 Å).²⁶ Before we begin, it is important to describe the bonding in species A in detail to gain understanding on the electronic origin of the difference in reactivity observed during the catalytic cycle. As previously has

---

**Figure 1.** Simplified orbital interaction diagram showing the major contribution of the [(NHC)Au–MeCN]⁺ bond in A.

**Figure 2.** Simplified orbital interaction diagram showing the major contribution of the [(NHC)Au(CH≡CMe)]⁺–MeCN bond in B.
been described,\textsuperscript{27} the bonding in (NHC)AuC1-type complexes, in general, can be viewed as a 3-center 4-electron σ bond, where the ligand and carbene donate electrons to the empty 6s orbital of the gold to form a strong σ bond. Owing to the presence of the second σ-donor ligand, trans to NHC, the bond order for Au−C1 reduces significantly. Additionally, the metal center is able to form two π bonds by donation from perpendicular filled d orbitals to the empty π acceptor on the ligand and NHC\textsuperscript{27} (see Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). These two bonds compete for electron density from gold, and thus back-donation to carbon is thus affected, leading to an alteration in the Au−C1 bond order. The Au−C1 distance in the [(NHC)Au]+ species is found to be 1.99 Å, revealing a rather weak trans influence of MeCN on the Au−C1 σ back-donation in A. Besides, NBO analysis indicates that the Au−C1 σ bond is significantly ionic in character with donation of 80% from the carbon atom and 20% from the gold atom for A. On the other hand, the Au−N σ bond is found to be much more ionic because here the gold contribution accounts for less than 10%. Quite expectedly, consistent with the weak π-acidic nature of the MeCN fragment, the [NHC]Au(acceptor)−(MeCN) (donor) bond was indeed found to be predominantly a σ-type interaction, as observed from a high d/b ratio (19) in CDA analysis (see Table S26 in the Supporting Information). The [NHC]Au−(MeCN) bond dissociation energy (\(D_0\)) was estimated to be 28.6 kcal/mol in the solvent (MeCN) phase at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory (see Table S27 in the Supporting Information). More specifically, the MO corresponding to the [NHC]Au−(MeCN) σ interaction exhibited σ donation from the nitrogen lone pair of MeCN to a metal-based d-type FMO of the [NHC]Au fragment of the initiating species A (see Figure 1). The solvent-coordinated species A may then proceed along an alkylene or an amine coordination pathway, as discussed below (see Schemes 1 and 2a,b).

**Alkyne Coordination Pathway.** The alkylene coordination catalytic cycle initiates with a cationic solvent-coordinated species A, undergoing an alkylene coordination step that exhibits an energy barrier of 17.4 kcal/mol (solvent phase), yielding a cationic acetylene-coordinated species, [(NHC)Au(MeC≡CH)]\textsuperscript{+}-MeCN (B), with elimination of a MeCN molecule. The transition state TS1 undergoes dissociation of the Au−MeCN bond, exhibiting an elongated Au−N3 (acetonitrile nitrogen) distance (2.335 Å) compared to that in the starting reactant species A (2.058 Å), followed by an alkylene coordination of MeC≡CH in the form of a weak interaction arising out of relatively long Au⋯C6 (alkylene carbon, 2.653 Å) and Au⋯C7 (alkylene carbon, 2.523 Å) distances (see Figure S3 and Table S6 in the Supporting Information). The computed imaginary frequency (196 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) is consistent with the picture described above. The optimized structure of TS1, thus, confirms the solvent dissociation and alkylene association events happening synchronously in the transition state TS1. The approach of π-acidic alkylene to gold in TS1 leads to a decrease in back-donation for the Au−C1 bond, resulting in elongation of the Au−C1 bond by 0.027 Å in TS1. The transition state TS1 results in an alkylene-coordinated intermediate B (see Figure S5 and Table S8 in the Supporting Information), which showed a near-linear geometry (\(∠C1−\text{Au}−C7 = 166.3^\circ\)) at the metal. This species was slightly endothermic from the corresponding reactant (6.0 kcal/mol). The methyl acetylene molecule was strongly bound to the metal in the alkylene-bound intermediate B, as was evident from the observed shorter Au−C (alkylene carbon) bond distances \([d(\text{Au}−C6) = 2.346 \text{ Å} \text{ and } d(\text{Au}−C7) = 2.215 \text{ Å}]\) in comparison to the corresponding ones \([d(\text{Au}−C6) = 2.653 \text{ Å} \text{ and } d(\text{Au}−C7) = 2.523 \text{ Å}]\) observed earlier in the preceding transition state TS1. Quite interestingly though, in contrast to the [NHC]Au(acceptor)−(MeCN) (donor) σ-type interaction in A that exhibited a high d/b ratio of 19, the [NHC]Au(acceptor)−(MeC≡CH and MeCN) (donor) interaction in B had significantly more π-back-bonding character, as reflected in a low d/b ratio of 5.91, in concurrence with the π-acidic nature of an alkylene ligand (see Figure 2 and Table S26 in the Supporting Information). The [NHC]Au−(MeC≡CH) \(D_0\) was estimated to be 27.6 kcal/mol in the solvent (MeCN) phase at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory considering negligible contribution from the weakly bound MeCN moiety to the metal (see Table S27 in the Supporting Information). The MO correlation diagram showed that the [NHC]Au\textsuperscript{+} (acceptor)−(MeC≡CH and MeCN) (donor) bond in B is composed of an interaction between a π-type FMO of the MeC≡CH moiety and a d-type FMO of the [NHC]Au\textsuperscript{+} fragment (Figure 2).

The alkylene-coordinated intermediate B subsequently reacts with aniline via transition state TS2, giving an amine- and alkylene-bound cationic intermediate species [(NHC)Au(MeC≡CH)(PhNH\textsubscript{2})]\textsuperscript{+} (C). Although there exists a possible pathway where the amine can directly approach the coordinated alkylene to form the species D, a recent experimental study indicates that N−C coupling occurred via a coordinated gold−amine type species,\textsuperscript{31} which led us to route the mechanism via TS2 to reach the species D. The transition state TS2 exhibited an activation barrier of 7.8 kcal/mol (solvent phase) from its nearest reactant species B and is characterized by an imaginary frequency of i82 cm\textsuperscript{-1} along the displacement vector in the direction of bond formation between the gold and N3 atoms of the PhNH\textsubscript{2} moiety. Quite interestingly, the formation of C is marginally exothermic with respect to B, with the net energy indicating that it is a rather thermonutral species (−0.3 kcal/mol). The observed Au−C (alkylene carbon) distances \([d(\text{Au}−C6) = 2.755 \text{ Å} \text{ and } d(\text{Au}−C7) = 2.479 \text{ Å}]\) and the Au−N3 (amine nitrogen) distance \([d(\text{Au}−\text{N3}) = 2.408 \text{ Å}]\) in the transition state TS2 are suggestive of the presence of weak interactions between the gold center to the methyl acetylene and the amine molecules (see Figure S7 and Table S10 in the Supporting Information). The Au−C1 distance in TS2 is further elongated compared to TS1 and B, indicating the strong trans influence of amine compared to MeCN. The amine essentially decreases back-donation for the Au−C1 bond, resulting in elongation of the Au−C1 bond to 2.050 Å in TS2. The transition state TS2 connects to an amine- and an alkylene-bound cationic intermediate species C containing slightly more strongly bound alkylene \([d(\text{Au}−C6) = 2.351 \text{ Å} \text{ and } d(\text{Au}−C7) = 2.210 \text{ Å}]\) and a weakly bound amine \([d(\text{Au}−\text{N3}) = 4.988 \text{ Å}]\) (moeities (see Figure S9 and Table S12 in the Supporting Information). Indeed, the MO correlation diagram showed that the [NHC]-Au\textsuperscript{+} (acceptor)−(MeC≡CH and PhNH\textsubscript{2}) (donor) interaction was comprised of contributions from the FMOs of mainly the MeC≡CH moiety and a metal-based d type of the [NHC]Au\textsuperscript{+} fragment (see Figure S20 in the Supporting Information). Neglecting the presence of a weak [NHC]Au\textsuperscript{+} (acceptor)−(PhNH\textsubscript{2}) (donor) interaction, the [NHC]Au−(MeC≡CH) \(D_0\) was computed to be 27.8 kcal/mol in the solvent (MeCN) phase at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory (see Table S27 in the Supporting Information). Like in B, the [NHC]Au\textsuperscript{+} (acceptor)−(MeC≡CH and PhNH\textsubscript{2}) (donor) interaction in C also exhibits significant π-back-bonding character, as displayed in...
a low d/b ratio of 5.89 (see Table S26 in the Supporting Information). Quite interestingly, the \( \angle C1-\text{Au}-C7 \) angle (166.0°) that the MeC≡CH moiety makes with the [NHC]Au\(^+\) fragment in C is identical with the one that it makes with the same fragment in B. The weakly bound PhNH\(_2\) moiety makes an obtuse angle \( \angle C1-\text{Au}-N3 = 107.4° \) with the [NHC]Au\(^+\) fragment in C. It is worth mentioning that, beyond the intermediate C, a common mechanistic pathway is proposed for both of the amine and alkyne coordination routes.

**Amine Coordination Pathway.** Analogous to the alkyne coordination, the amine coordination too initiates with coordination of an amine, PhNH\(_2\), to the metal center of the solvent-coordinated initiating species A to yield an amine-coordinated intermediate \( [(\text{NHC})\text{Au}(\text{PhNH}_2)]^+ \cdot \text{MeCN} \) (B'). Amine coordination exhibits an energy barrier of 10.4 kcal/mol at its transition state TS1', which showed a slightly elongated Au⋯N3 (acetanilide nitrogen) distance (2.346 Å) in comparison to that in the originating species A (2.058 Å). Similarly, a relatively long Au⋯N4 (amine nitrogen) distance (2.486 Å) of the metal-coordinated aniline was observed in the transition state TS1' (see Figure S4 and Table S7 in the Supporting Information). The computed frequency (177 cm\(^{-1}\)) corresponds to the displacement vectors in the direction of bond formation between gold and N4 atoms of the PhNH\(_2\) moiety and the bond dissociation between the gold and N3 atoms in the MeCN moiety.

At this juncture, important is the comparison of the TS1 and TS1' structures. Despite the structural differences that exist due to the approaching ligand (alkyne versus amine), the coordinating MeCN distances are merely equal in both transition states. On the contrary, the Au⋯C1 distance in TS1' is found to be 2.015 Å compared to 2.039 Å in TS1, thereby clearly indicating that the decrease in the Au⋯C1 bond order due to amine coordination is much smaller than the coordination from an \( \pi \)-acidic alkyne ligand. This difference in the bond strengths makes TS1' more stable by 7.0 kcal/mol compared to TS1.

The amine-coordinated intermediate B' showed a linear geometry at the metal center exhibiting a \( \angle C1-\text{Au}-N4 \) angle of 179.8°. The intermediate B' was slightly exothermic by −5.08 kcal/mol from the nearest reacting species A. As can be ascertained from a shorter Au⋯N (amine nitrogen) bond distance \( [d(\text{Au}⋯\text{N4}) = 2.139 \text{ Å}] \) in B' with respect to the corresponding one observed in transition state TS1' \( [d(\text{Au}⋯\text{N4}) = 2.486 \text{ Å}] \), the amine moiety was found to be tightly coordinated to the metal center (see Figure S6 and Table S9 in the Supporting Information). The coordinated amine-metal Au\(^{+}\) (PhNH\(_2\)) interaction, \( [\text{NHC}]\text{Au}(\text{acceptor})\cdots(\text{PhNH}_2 \text{ and MeCN}) \) (donor)), was purely \( \sigma \)-type, and as observed from the CDA studies that showed a very high d/b ratio of 123, thereby implying the absence of any \( \pi \) back-donation (see Figure S19 and Table S26 in the Supporting Information). Compared to species B, where the \( \pi \)-acidic nature of alkyne leads to decrease in back-donation, thus resulting in a longer Au⋯C1 (2.037 Å) bond, species B' exhibits a relatively shorter Au⋯C1 bond (2.020 Å), indicative of a stronger Au⋯C1 back-donation in B'.

The \( [\text{NHC}]\text{Au}(\text{PhNH}_2) \) \( D_1 \) was calculated to be 35.7 kcal/mol in the solvent (MeCN) phase at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory by considering a negligible contribution from the weakly bound MeCN moiety (see Table S27 in the Supporting Information). The MO correlation diagram showed that the \( [\text{NHC}]\text{Au}^+ \) (acceptor)\cdots(PhNH\(_2\) and MeCN) (donor) bond in B' is composed of an interaction between a \( \sigma \)-type FMO of the PhNH\(_2\) moiety and a d-type FMO of the [NHC]Au\(^+\) fragment. The amine-coordinated intermediate B' then reacts with MeC≡CH, yielding a very high-energy transition state TS2' that displayed an activation barrier of 19.2 kcal/mol from its nearest reactant species B'. The alkyne coordination is less favorable because of a comparatively higher activation barrier by 8.8 kcal/mol from the preceding amine coordination step. The transition state TS2' has been characterized by an imaginary frequency of 179 cm\(^{-1}\), which exhibited a displacement vector in the direction of bond formation between the gold atom and C6 and C7 atoms of the MeC≡CH moiety. The transition state TS2' has been shown to have an activation barrier of 19.2 kcal/mol (solvent phase) from the MeC≡CH moiety.

The presence of the MeC≡CH moiety leads to a considerable increase in the Au⋯C1 distance, as seen from a Au⋯C1 distance of 2.020 Å in B' to that of 2.036 Å in TS2'. The transition state TS2' led to an amine- and alkyne-coordinated species C, the formation of which was found to be endothermic with respect to B' by 10.7 kcal/mol. The intermediate C then follows a common route for the hydroamination reaction from beyond this point.

The amine- and alkyne-bound cationic intermediate species C undergoes Markovnikov addition by a nucleophile attack of the coordinated amine nitrogen at the alkyne carbon, resulting in C⋯N bond formation, which subsequently also leads to Au⋯C bond formation, via a transition state TS3 that display an activation barrier of 4.9 kcal/mol (solvent phase) from the nearest reactant species C. It is worth noting that the regiochemistry of the hydroamination reaction is determined at this step, with the Markovnikov fashion of the addition being more favorable than the anti-Markovnikov addition (see Figures S28 and S33–S36 and Tables S22–S25 in the Supporting Information). The NBO-computed natural charges on the two carbon atoms (C6 and C7; see Figure S9 in the Supporting Information) are significantly different, with the value of +0.066 for the carbon attached to gold and ~0.339 for the adjacent carbon, and this difference basically facilitates formation of the Markovnikov product. The transition state TS3 has been characterized by an imaginary frequency of 189 cm\(^{-1}\), exhibiting displacement vectors in the direction of bond formation between C6 of the HC≡CMe moiety and N3 of the PhNH\(_2\) moiety. The observed Au⋯C (alkyne carbon) distances \( [d(\text{Au}⋯\text{C7}) = 2.143 \text{ Å} \) and \( d(\text{Au}⋯\text{C6}) = 2.675 \text{ Å} \) (alkyne) C6⋯N3 (amine) distance \( [d(C6⋯N3) = 2.705 \text{ Å}] \) in the transition state TS3 are testimony to the amine N3 attack on the alkyne carbon atom toward the formation of a C⋯N bond (see Figure S10 and Table S13 in the Supporting Information). The transition state TS3 leads to the formation of a cationic intermediate species \( [(\text{NHC})\text{Au}(\text{MeCNH}_2\text{Ph})]^+ \) (D), which exhibits a single-bond Au⋯C7 distance of 2.053 Å and a slightly elongated single-bond C6⋯N3 distance of 1.533 Å (see Figure S11 and Table S14 in the Supporting Information). The formation of species D is thus found to be energetically favorable by ~14.0 kcal/mol with respect to the nearest reacting species C. This large stabilization compared to the other intermediates is due to the presence of strong \( \sigma \) donation occurring from the alkyne moiety to the gold(I) center, where 81% of the contribution for this \( \sigma \) bond is from the alkyne counterpart, as revealed by NBO analysis. The Au\(^{+}\)(CH≡CMeNH\(_2\)Ph) \( \sigma \) interaction exhibited a very high \( D_\sigma \) of 74.2 kcal/mol in the solvent (MeCN) phase at the
B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory (see Table S27 in the Supporting Information). CDA analysis showed that the interaction is primarily of the $\sigma$ type, as observed from a high d/b ratio of 12.4 (see Figure S18 and Table S26 in the Supporting Information). The $\angle C1$−Au−C7 angle was found to be 178.3°, while the $\angle C7$−C6−N3 angle was 117.5° in the intermediate species D. The Au−C1 bond is found to be further elongated to 2.072 Å, indicating a stronger trans $\sigma$ donation and leading to a weakening of the Au−C1 bond.

The cationic intermediate species D undergoes a proton transfer between an amine N3 to an alkyne carbon (C7) assisted by a proton relay mechanism involving the possibility of the presence of any adventitious H2O molecule or by any reacting PhNH2 molecule, the prospects of which are discussed below (Scheme 2a,b and Figures 3a,b and 4).

**H2O-Mediated Proton Relay.** The H2O-assisted proton relay displays an activation barrier of 22.6 kcal/mol (solvent phase) with respect to the nearest reactant species D at a six-membered transition state TS4. Although the absolute barrier height computed seems to be high, the large barrier is primarily due to the large gain in the stabilization of species C. The net energy penalty due to the transition state is, in fact, found to be
Figure 4. Overlay of the computed solvent (MeCN) phase free energies ($\Delta G$) at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory depicting a proton shuttle step ($D \rightarrow E$) with $H_2O$ (green) and PhNH$_2$ (red) and without any relay assistance (blue).

only 14.3 kcal/mol from species A (Scheme 2 and Figure 3). A large thermodynamic stability of D followed by a relatively higher barrier for protonation is perhaps the reason for the elevated temperature conditions required for the experimental setup to carry out the catalytic transformations.\textsuperscript{11} The transition state TS4 was verified by the characteristic imaginary frequency of i434 cm$^{-1}$ depicting a displacement vector in the direction of hydrogen atom transfer from N3 to C7 atoms via a H$_2$O molecule (see Figure S13 and Table S15 in the Supporting Information). Significantly enough, the role of the adventitious H$_2$O molecule in lowering the activation barrier of the reaction becomes very much evident from the fact that the corresponding proton transfer between the amine N3 and alkyne C7, without the H$_2$O molecule, displays a very high activation barrier of 46.2 kcal/mol (solvent phase) from species D at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP of theory, which, consequently, would have made the proton-transfer step the rate-determining step of the whole reaction if an alternate low-energy pathway had not been explored (see Figures S12 and S24 and Table S16 in the Supporting Information). Because the computed (gas-phase) barrier is prohibitively high and because, experimentally, the reactions were conducted under aerobic conditions, the transition-state computation with an explicit H$_2$O molecule was subsequently undertaken in view of the fact that the H$_2$O molecule is required only in a catalytic amount and the presence of a single molecule is sufficient enough to carry out the whole conversion (see Figure S27 in the Supporting Information).

**PhNH$_2$-Mediated Proton Relay.** Apart from H$_2$O, we have also explored other possible proton shuttle agents, in particular aniline. The PhNH$_2$-assisted proton relay between the intermediates D and [(NHC)Au(PhNHMeC=CH$_2$)]$^+$ (E) is proposed to proceed in a two-step fashion because of the more bulky PhNH$_2$ compared to H$_2$O that assists the proton relay in a single-step sequence (Scheme 2a,b and Figure 3). Thus, the first step of the PhNH$_2$-assisted relay involves proton transfer from an amine N3 in the intermediate D to PhNH$_2$, yielding transition state TS4', which displays an activation barrier of 25.1 kcal/mol with respect to the nearest reactant species D. It is worth noting that the transition state 'TS4' could only be characterized by freezing the phenyl ring (i.e., atoms C7, C15, C16, C17, C18, C19, C20, and N3) of the aniline moiety in the proximity of the metal center of the nearest reacting species D, and thus the activation barrier observed for TS4' represents an upper limit of the energy barrier for the PhNH$_2$-assisted proton relay process. The transition state TS4' was verified by a characteristic imaginary frequency of i434 cm$^{-1}$, which depicts a displacement vector in the direction of hydrogen atom transfer from N3 to N4 atoms of PhNH$_2$ (see Figure S14 and Table S17 in the Supporting Information). The transition state TS4' yields an anilinium ion [(PhNH$_3$)$_2$]$^+$ bound cationic intermediate species [(NHC)AuCH=$\equiv$CMeNHPh]$_-$ (PhNH$_3$)$_2^+$ (D'), which was endothermic by 4.83 kcal/mol with respect to initiating species A. Analogous to the linearity at the metal center observed in the intermediate species A$\rightarrow$D, an angle $\angle$C1$-$Au$-$C7 of 177.5$^\circ$ was observed at the metal center in D'$^\circ$ (see Figure S15 and Table S18 in the Supporting Information).

The intermediate species D' subsequently undergoes another intermolecular proton transfer from anilinium N4 to alkyne carbon (C7) to form an enamine-bound cationic intermediate
species \(E\) via transition state \(TS^4\). As expected, the transition state \(TS^4\) exhibited an imaginary frequency of \(i268\) \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) along displacement vectors in the direction of hydrogen atom transfer from \(N4\) to \(C7\) atoms of \(\text{PhNH}_2\) (see Figure S16 and Table S19 in the Supporting Information) and exhibited an activation barrier of 5.68 kcal/mol with respect to the initial reacting species \(A\). Overall, a significant reduction of the activation barrier for proton transfer between amine \(N3\) and alkyne \(C7\) was observed in the case of the \(H_2O\)- or \(\text{PhNH}_2\)-assisted proton relay processes (Figure 4).

Ultimately, both transition states \(TS^5\), in the case of the \(H_2O\)-assisted proton relay (Scheme 2a and Figure 3a), and \(TS^4\), in the case of the \(\text{PhNH}_2\)-assisted proton relay (Scheme 2b and Figure 3b), converge to an enamine-bound cationic intermediate species \(E\), which showed an unsymmetrically bound enamine \([d(Au\cdotsC7) = 2.168\ \text{Å} \text{and} d(Au\cdotsC6) = 2.878\ \text{Å} ]\) moiety to the gold center. The formation of this species is also thermodynamically favorable by \(-30.5\) kcal/mol with respect to the initiating species \(A\). Similar to the linearity at the metal center observed in the intermediate species \(A\)–\(D\), an angle \((\angle C1\cdotsAu\cdotsC7)\) of \(175.0^\circ\) was observed at the metal center in \(E\) (see Figure S17 and Table S20 in the Supporting Information). The enamine moiety in \(E\), which exhibited a \([\text{NHC}]\text{Au}^-\cdot(\text{PhNHMeC}═\text{CH}_2)\) \(D_o\) of 45.1 kcal/mol, was thus more tightly bound to the gold(I) center than the corresponding MeCN moiety in \(A\) (28.6 kcal/mol), MeC==CH and MeCN moieties in \(B\) (27.6 kcal/mol), and the MeC==CH moiety in \(C\) (27.8 kcal/mol) in the solvent (MeCN) phase at the B3LYP/SDD, TZVP level of theory (see Table S27 in the Supporting Information). The MO corresponding to the \([\text{NHC}]\text{Au}^+\cdot(\text{PhNHMeC}═\text{CH}_2)\) \(\pi\)-bonding interaction showed electron donation from the enamine \(\text{PhNHMeC}═\text{CH}_2\) and the \([\text{NHC}]\text{Au}\) fragments. Consistent with the electron-rich nature of the enamine moiety \(\text{PhNHMeC}═\text{CH}_2\), very little \(\pi\) back-donation was seen in \(E\), as observed in a high \(d/b\) ratio of 16.7 compared to that in \(A\) (19), \(B\) (5.91), \(C\) (5.89), and \(D\) (12.4) (see Figure S23 and Table S26 in the Supporting Information).

The final step of the catalytic cycle involves the release of the hydroamminated enamine product upon the reaction of \(E\) with the solvent MeCN proceeding via a transition state \(TS^5\) and yielding the solvent-coordinated initiating species \(A\). The barrier height for this step to occur is found to be 16.7 kcal/mol from the species \(E\), but the large energetic gain in the formation of \(E\) leads to the barrierless condition from the reactant \(A\).

The transition state \(TS^5\) shows the release of the enamine product \([d(Au\cdotsC7) = 2.540\ \text{Å} \text{and} d(Au\cdotsC6) = 3.144\ \text{Å} ]\) with subsequent approach of a MeCN solvent molecule \([d(Au\cdotsN4) = 2.280\ \text{Å} ]\) (see Figure S18 and Table S21 in the Supporting Information). The imaginary frequency for the transition state \(TS^5\) was estimated to be \(i86\ \text{cm}^{-1}\), showing displacement vectors in the direction of bond formation between the gold and \(N4\) atoms of the MeCN moiety alongside bond dissociation between the gold atom and \(C6\) and \(C7\) atoms of the enamine \(\text{PhNHMeC}═\text{CH}_2\) moiety.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, an alkyne and an amine coordination pathway for the hydroamination reaction as catalyzed by a gold(I) (NHC)AuCl-type precatalyst, namely, \([1,3\text{-dimethylimidazol}-2\text{-yldiene}]\text{gold} \text{chloride}, \text{for the two representative substrates, MeC==CH and PhNH}_2\), has been modeled using the DFT study. The main conclusions from this work are summarized as follows:

1. The electronic structure of species \(A\) has been explored in detail using MO and NBO analysis, thus providing useful information on the bonding aspects of this species and how the bonding scenario varies as the reaction progresses. Of particular interest is the gold–carbene \((\text{Au}═\text{C})\) bond length because it offers insight into the nature of the NHC–metal interaction during the course of the catalytic cycle.

2. The mechanistic possibilities explored involve the reaction starting at a common species \(A\) and then bifurcating into two different pathways, namely, the amine and alkyne coordination ones, leading to another common intermediate \(C\) with coordinated alkyne and amine ligands. Our energetics reveal that the amine coordination is more favorable by 7 kcal/mol over alkyne coordination, and this electronic preference for this pathway stems from the strong \(\pi\)-acidic nature of alkyne compared to amine.

3. The regiochemistry of the hydroamination product is determined after the formation of an alkyne and amine coordinated intermediate \(C\). The intermediate \(C\) is common to both pathways, after which the reaction proceeds from \(C\).

4. From the intermediate \(C\) onward to regeneration of the catalyst, the catalytic cycle proceeds by an attack of amine on the alkyne, followed by hydrogen migration, which may be an adventitious \(H_2O\)- or \(\text{PhNH}_2\)-assisted relay process, finally leading to dissociation of the final product.

5. The role of explicit solvation on hydrogen migration and the fact that the adventitious \(H_2O\) or unreacted \(\text{PhNH}_2\) substrate may reduce the barrier significantly have been explored.

6. Quite interestingly, while regeneration of the catalyst is found to be endothermic, the overall catalytic transformation was found to be thermodynamically favorable by \(-24.9\) kcal/mol, thereby revealing a facile catalytic cycle. The forthcoming catalytic cycles are expected to be even faster because of exothermic stabilization of the end product. The released enamine product \(\text{PhNHMeC}═\text{CH}_2\) may exist in a tautomeric equilibrium with the relatively more stable imine form \(\text{PhNN}═\text{CM}Me_2\) by 7.5 kcal/mol (see Figures S28 and S33–S36 and Tables S22–S25 in the Supporting Information).

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General Procedures.** All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were purified and degassed by standard procedures. \([1\text{-}\text{N-tert-Butylacetamido}-4\text{-}\text{benzyl-1,2,4-triazol-5-yldiene}]\text{gold} \text{chloride}, \text{was synthesized according to modified literature procedures.}^{1}\) Gas chromatography (GC) spectra were obtained on a PerkinElmer Clarus 600 equipped with a flame ionization detector. GC–mass spectrometry (MS) spectra were obtained on a PerkinElmer Clarus 600 equipped with electron impact source.

**Computational Methods.** DFT calculations were performed on reactant, product, transition states, and intermediates using the GAUSSIAN 03\(^{30}\) suite of quantum chemical programs. In particular, DFT calculations were performed on the reactant and product species \(A, B, B', C, D, D', E\) and the transition states \(TS1 (A \rightarrow B), TS1' (A \rightarrow B'), TS2 (B \rightarrow C), TS2' (B' \rightarrow C), TS3 (C \rightarrow D), TS4 (D \rightarrow E)\) with and without \(H_2O\) proton relay, \(TS5' (D \rightarrow D'), TS5'' (D' \rightarrow E), TS5' (D \rightarrow E)\) and \(TS5 (E \rightarrow A)\). The Becke three-parameter exchange functional in conjunction with Lee–Yang–Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) has been employed in the study.\(^{31}\) The polarized basis set 6-31G(d)\(^{32}\) was used to describe carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen atoms. The Stuttgart–Dresden effective core potential (ECP) along with valence basis sets (SDD) was used for the gold atom.\(^{33}\) Finally, higher-level
single-point calculations were performed on the B3LYP/SDD, 6-31G(d)-optimized geometries using the SDD basis set for the gold atom and the TZVP basis set for all other atoms.\textsuperscript{34,35} The relativistic effect of gold has been incorporated by employing the relativistic ECP basis set because accurate all-electron relativistic calculations are extremely time-consuming. These basis sets are routinely used in gold chemistry, and reliable agreement to the calculations are extremely time-consuming. These basis sets are

The reaction state optimization method based on the B3LYP/SDD, 6-31G(d) level of theory, which represents a valuable tool for analyzing the interactions between molecular fragments on a quantitative basis, with an emphasis on single-point energy computations on the optimized gas-phase geometries. The reported free energies are obtained by correcting the solvation electronic energy with the free-energy correction obtained from the gas-phase structure. All of the energetics of the potential energy surface discussed are thus the solvation free energy unless otherwise stated.

The metal–ligand donor–acceptor interactions were inspected by using CDA\textsuperscript{40} at the B3LYP/SDD, 6-31G(d) level of theory, which represents a valuable tool for analyzing the interactions between molecular fragments on a quantitative basis, with an emphasis on electron donation.\textsuperscript{41} The donor–acceptor interactions in the various intermediates A–E of the proposed catalytic cycle were examined using this technique. The orbital interaction between donor and acceptor fragments in A \{MeCN (donor) and \[(NH)Au\]^+ (acceptor)\}, B \{Me\tilde{C}CH and MeCN (donor) and \[(NH)Au\]^+ (acceptor)\}, C \{PhNH\tilde{H} and MeCN (donor) and \[(NH)Au\]^+ (acceptor)\}, D \{PhNH\tilde{H}Me\tilde{C}CH and MeCN (donor) and \[(NH)Au\]^+ (acceptor)\}, and E \{PhNH\tilde{H}Me\tilde{C}CH and \[(NH)Au\]^+ (acceptor)\}, can be divided into three parts: (i) π donation from the donor → acceptor fragment (d), (ii) σ back-donation from the donor ← acceptor fragment (b), and (iii) a repulsive interaction (r) between the occupied MOs of these two fragments. The CDA calculations were performed using the AOMix\textsuperscript{22} program with the B3LYP/SDD, 6-31G(d) wave function. MO compositions and the overlap populations were calculated using the AOMix program. Analysis of the MO compositions in terms of occupied and unoccupied fragment orbitals, construction of orbital interaction diagrams, and CDA were performed using the AOMix-CDA program.\textsuperscript{43}

\section*{General Procedure for Hydroamination of Alkynes (under Dry Conditions in the Absence of H\textsubscript{2}O)}

In a typical run, a 25-mL round-bottomed flask was charged with a mixture of [1-(N-tort-butylacetamido)-4-benzyl-2,4-triazol-5-yldiene]gold chloride (2 mol \%, 0.01 mmol), AgBF\textsubscript{4} (2 mol \%, 0.01 mmol), and acetonitrile (ca. 5 mL). To this was added a mixture of 2,6-diethylaniline, phenylacetylene, and diethylenglycol–di-n-butyl ether (internal standard) in a molar ratio of 1:1.5:1. The reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by GC using diethylenglycol–di-n-butyl ether as an internal standard at different time intervals (1, 3, 7, and 12 h; see Figure S27 in the Supporting Information).

\section*{General Procedure of the Blank Experiment.}

In a typical run, a 25-mL round-bottomed flask was charged with AgBF\textsubscript{4} (2 mol \%, 0.01 mmol) and acetonitrile (ca. 5 mL). To this was added a mixture of 2,6-diethylaniline, phenylacetylene, and diethylenglycol–di-n-butyl ether (internal standard) in a molar ratio of 1:1.5:1. The reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by GC using diethylenglycol–di-n-butyl ether as an internal standard at different time intervals (1, 3, 7, and 12 h; see Figure S27 in the Supporting Information).
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